Propositional Clarity and the Doctrines of Grace: A Review of S. C. Sayles' *Propositional Soteriology*

Abstract

This article provides a critical review of S. C. Sayles' *Propositional Soteriology: The Logos-Centred Salvation* (Evolsiay Tulip, 2025), situating it within the wider field of Reformed theology, historical soteriology, and contemporary metaphysical approaches to doctrine. Sayles' work is distinguished by its adoption of a propositional structure modeled after Wittgenstein's *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, but with a theological rather than purely philosophical end. The book presents salvation as an eternal act of the Logos, employing an "Eight-Fold Proof Structure" that integrates scriptural, confessional, theological, logical, metaphysical, presuppositional, polemical, and refutational modes of reasoning. This review argues that Sayles' contribution represents not only a retrieval of the Reformed tradition of grace but also a constructive metaphysical system that seeks to stabilize theology in an era often characterized by narrative looseness and relativism. The tone remains critically engaged yet affirming, highlighting both the strengths and potential challenges of Sayles' project.

Introduction

1. The Contemporary Challenge to Doctrinal Clarity

In recent decades, theological discourse has been marked by a significant shift away from propositional clarity toward narrative, metaphorical, and experiential modes of articulation. Postmodern hermeneutics has encouraged suspicion of systems and structures, arguing instead for fluidity and perspectival multiplicity. While such approaches have enriched theology in terms of imagination and inclusivity, they have also exposed it to the charge of imprecision, relativism, and at times doctrinal instability. In this context, the reassertion of propositional clarity in soteriology stands out as both counter-cultural and necessary.

It is within this intellectual climate that S. C. Sayles' *Propositional Soteriology: The Logos-Centred Salvation* must be understood. Sayles deliberately resists the drift toward narrative subjectivity and reclaims the importance of carefully structured, logically coherent doctrinal confession. His work belongs to the ongoing *Veritas Confirmata* series, which aims to provide a Logos-centred metaphysical framework across theology, cosmology, psychology, and ontology. In this particular volume, Sayles turns his attention to the doctrines of grace, traditionally summarized as

the “five points of Calvinism,” yet reframed here as an architecture grounded in the eternal Logos.

2. Sayles in the Stream of Theological Tradition

Sayles’ project consciously situates itself within the long history of Christian doctrinal debate. The book traverses the Old Testament, Augustine’s conflict with Pelagius, the Council of Orange, medieval scholastic contributions (Anselm, Aquinas), the Reformers (Luther, Calvin, Zwingli), and the confessional codifications at Dort and Westminster. In so doing, Sayles positions himself not as an innovator but as a custodian of continuity. This is significant, for as Jaroslav Pelikan once observed, “Tradition is the living faith of the dead; traditionalism is the dead faith of the living.” Sayles’ work is clearly in the former category — an active engagement with historical witnesses in order to sustain doctrinal clarity in the present.

In many respects, Sayles echoes Augustine’s insistence that even the beginning of faith is a divine gift, Calvin’s insistence on the coherence of the system of grace, and Jonathan Edwards’ conviction that true freedom is only possible through the regenerating power of grace. He also resonates with Cornelius Van Til’s presuppositional apologetics, particularly the conviction that all human reasoning is dependent on the self-attesting God of Scripture, and with Francis Schaeffer’s emphasis on “true truth” as the necessary ground for Christian thought and evangelism. By integrating these influences into a propositional scaffolding, Sayles offers a distinctive synthesis that is both historically rooted and philosophically rigorous.

3. The Distinctive Contribution of ***Propositional Soteriology***

What distinguishes Sayles’ work from earlier systematic presentations of Reformed theology is its explicit propositional method. Whereas Calvin’s *Institutes* were structured theologically and pastorally, Sayles deliberately models his form on Wittgenstein’s *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, producing a sequence of propositions and sub-propositions. This format allows for layered argumentation where each claim is supported by scriptural, confessional, logical, metaphysical, and presuppositional proofs. The result is a system that resists fragmentation and insists on the interconnectedness of all doctrines of grace.

The theological centre of gravity is the Logos. For Sayles, salvation is not merely a set of doctrines but an eternal act of the Word who is both

Creator and Redeemer. The Logos is not an abstraction but the eternal Son, who grounds creation, secures redemption, and guarantees perseverance. This Christological focus distinguishes Sayles' work from purely scholastic systems and ensures that his soteriology culminates in doxology.

4. Purpose and Scope of This Review

The purpose of this review is to examine *Propositional Soteriology* as both a retrieval of Reformed doctrine and a constructive proposal for theological method. The article will proceed in four movements:

1. **The Methodological Frame** — analyzing Sayles' Eight-Fold Proof Structure and its philosophical-theological implications.
2. **Historical Continuity** — assessing the book's engagement with Augustine, the medievals, the Reformers, and the confessions.
3. **The Doctrines of Grace in Propositional Form** — evaluating Sayles' treatment of total depravity, election, atonement, grace, and perseverance.
4. **Evaluation and Critique** — considering both the strengths and challenges of Sayles' propositional method.

The review will demonstrate that while the density of Sayles' approach may limit accessibility for some readers, its intellectual and theological rigor represents a significant contribution to contemporary soteriological discourse.

The Methodological Frame

1. The Propositional Scaffolding

One of the most striking features of Sayles' *Propositional Soteriology* is its decision to employ a propositional framework modeled after Wittgenstein's *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*. Instead of narrating doctrines or weaving them into discursive essays, Sayles arranges his theology in sequentially numbered propositions and sub-propositions. Each claim is carefully layered, so that broad assertions ("Salvation is the eternal purpose of the Logos") are followed by precise expansions and proofs.

This structure is not an aesthetic choice alone; it reflects Sayles' conviction that theological truth must be articulated in a way that resists vagueness. In a theological culture where metaphor, narrative, and personal experience often eclipse doctrinal precision, Sayles insists that truth must be propositional because God has revealed Himself in sentences and decrees. As the prologue to John's Gospel reminds us, "In

the beginning was the Word (Logos).” Revelation is linguistic and rational as much as it is personal and relational.

Sayles’ scaffolding therefore echoes earlier systematic efforts such as Calvin’s *Institutes of the Christian Religion*, but with a sharper logical form. Calvin systematized theology to display “the whole counsel of God” (Acts 20:27), while Sayles intensifies this impulse by pressing each proposition through multiple levels of verification. In doing so, he creates a theological architecture that mirrors the structure of the doctrines themselves: tightly interwoven, mutually reinforcing, and resistant to fragmentation.

2. The Eight-Fold Proof Structure

At the heart of Sayles’ method lies what he calls the **Eight-Fold Proof Structure**. Each doctrine of salvation is not simply asserted but demonstrated through eight converging lines of reasoning:

1. **Scriptural Proof** — the primary authority, where doctrines are grounded in the explicit witness of the Bible.
2. **Confessional Proof** — the echo of historic creeds and confessions that testify to the continuity of doctrine across generations.
3. **Theological Proof** — the demonstration of systematic coherence within the whole body of Christian teaching.
4. **Logical Proof** — the insistence that true theology is non-contradictory and rationally consistent.
5. **Metaphysical Proof** — arguments from the nature of being and divine attributes (immutability, simplicity, eternity).
6. **Presuppositional Proof** — an engagement with the basic assumptions of competing worldviews, following Van Til’s insight that neutrality is impossible.
7. **Polemical Proof** — the dismantling of rival systems, especially Arminianism and Pelagianism, by showing their inconsistency with Scripture and reason.
8. **Refutational Proof** — turning objections back on themselves, exposing their self-defeating character.

The result is a multi-faceted defense in which no doctrine stands on a single leg. If one form of proof might be challenged (say, logical consistency), another (confessional or scriptural authority) still holds.

Sayles thereby constructs a cord of eight strands — strong, resilient, and, in his view, unbreakable.

3. Comparison with Augustine and Calvin

Sayles' method resonates deeply with Augustine's polemical strategy against Pelagius. Augustine never confined himself to one form of argument. He appealed first to Scripture ("Give what Thou commandest, and command what Thou wilt"), then to theology (the bondage of the will), and finally to logic (if man can save himself, Christ died in vain). Sayles' Eight-Fold Proof echoes this Augustinian layering, though in a more explicit, systematic design.

Similarly, Calvin's *Institutes* sought to bind each doctrine into the coherence of the whole, famously insisting that "we cannot know God without also being changed into His likeness." Calvin, too, rejected piecemeal theology, arguing instead for a system where depravity necessitates election, election requires definite atonement, atonement is applied through irresistible grace, and grace secures perseverance. Sayles reproduces this Calvinist wholeness but frames it in a propositional skeleton that intensifies the clarity of each link in the chain.

4. Van Til and the Presuppositional Line

Cornelius Van Til's influence is most visible in Sayles' inclusion of **presuppositional proof** as a necessary component of theology. Van Til's central claim was that all reasoning rests on ultimate commitments: either man presupposes his own autonomy or he begins with the triune God who speaks. For Van Til, the fear of the Lord is not merely the beginning of wisdom but the necessary condition for intelligibility itself.

Sayles applies this directly to soteriology. If one presupposes man's autonomy, then salvation inevitably becomes synergistic — God waits upon man's decision, grace is contingent, and election is conditional. But if one presupposes God's sovereignty, salvation is monergistic — God saves by His will alone, man's response being itself the product of divine initiative. Thus, presuppositional proof functions not as an optional apologetic but as a theological necessity. In this respect, Sayles aligns himself firmly with the Van Tilian tradition.

5. Schaeffer, Edwards, and the Pastoral Frame

Francis Schaeffer, though less systematic than Van Til, insisted that Christianity rests on "true truth" — reality that is not dependent on human construction but on divine revelation. Sayles' propositional method embodies this principle. By forcing every doctrine into propositional

clarity, he resists the relativism that Schaeffer saw as the great cultural danger of modernity.

Jonathan Edwards provides another fruitful comparison. In *Freedom of the Will* (1754), Edwards demonstrated, with philosophical rigor, that the will is always determined by the strongest inclination and that apart from grace this inclination is always toward sin. Edwards combined scholastic reasoning with pastoral urgency, aiming not only to prove but to awaken. Sayles reflects this Edwardsian impulse: his propositions are dense, but they regularly culminate in doxology, reminding the reader that salvation is not an abstract system but the lived reality of grace.

6. Strengths and Tensions of the Method

The strength of Sayles' methodological frame lies in its comprehensiveness. No doctrine is left vulnerable to a single mode of critique; each is anchored in Scripture, reinforced by confession, harmonized theologically, proven logically, grounded metaphysically, defended presuppositionally, sharpened polemically, and sealed by refutation. This creates a system of remarkable resilience.

Yet there are also tensions. The propositional form, while admirably precise, risks alienating readers who are accustomed to narrative theology or pastoral exposition. It may appear abstract or scholastic, even when its intent is pastoral and doxological. The balance between intellectual rigor and accessibility is therefore delicate. Sayles appears to accept this trade-off: clarity over accessibility, precision over ease. Whether this will broaden or narrow his readership remains an open question.

Historical Continuity of Grace

The Old Testament Foundations of Grace

Sayles begins his soteriology not in the Reformation but in the Old Testament, a deliberate move that underscores his claim that the doctrines of grace are not innovations of Geneva but the very fabric of Scripture. In his treatment of Israel's story, the emphasis falls on three elements: humanity's radical corruption, God's sovereign choice, and the particularity of sacrifice.

This approach resonates with Augustine's reading of Genesis, particularly his insistence in *Confessions* VII that the will is bound in sin and can only be liberated by divine initiative. Sayles, like Augustine, reads the flood narrative ("every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually," Gen. 6:5) and the story of Israel's election ("The LORD did not set his love upon you… but because the LORD loved you," Deut. 7:7–8) as precursors of Reformed anthropology and election. By presenting

Calvinism as embedded in the biblical narrative itself, Sayles bypasses accusations of historical novelty.

Augustine and the Pelagian Crisis

No figure looms larger in Sayles' narrative than Augustine of Hippo. Against Pelagius' assertion that human beings are born innocent and capable of moral perfection, Augustine argued that sin renders man spiritually dead, and that grace is not merely assistance but the creation of new life. Sayles aligns explicitly with this Augustinian position, echoing the famous prayer from the *Confessions*: "Give what Thou commandest, and command what Thou wilt."

What Augustine articulated polemically, Sayles structures propositionally. For example, Augustine maintained that even faith itself is God's gift. Sayles formalizes this as a proposition: "Regeneration precedes faith" (Propositional Soteriology, 5.12). In both cases, the logic is the same: if salvation is truly of grace, then it must originate in God's action, not human initiative.

The Council of Orange (529) confirmed this Augustinian trajectory, declaring that even the beginning of faith is the work of God. Sayles appeals to Orange not as the final word but as part of the continuous testimony that salvation "belongs to the Lord" (Jonah 2:9).

Medieval Witnesses: Anselm, Aquinas, Gottschalk

Sayles' treatment of the medieval period is notable for its generosity. Rather than portraying the Middle Ages as a wasteland of superstition, he identifies genuine continuities with the doctrines of grace.

- **Anselm of Canterbury**: In *Cur Deus Homo*, Anselm argued that only a God-man could satisfy the infinite debt of human sin. Sayles reads this as a precursor to definite atonement: the cross was not a vague gesture but an actual satisfaction of justice.
- **Thomas Aquinas**: In *Summa Theologiae*, Aquinas treated predestination as part of divine providence. While Aquinas did not fully embrace Reformed distinctives, he affirmed that election flows from God's eternal will. Sayles appropriates this strand, noting that predestination as divine order keeps continuity with Augustine.
- **Gottschalk of Orbais**: Sayles highlights Gottschalk's controversial doctrine of double predestination as evidence that Augustinian theology never fully disappeared in the medieval church. Although

condemned in his own day, Gottschalk anticipated themes that later Reformed theologians would refine.

Sayles thus positions the Middle Ages as a bridge rather than a break — a time in which the Augustinian stream remained alive, even if often submerged.

The Reformation: Luther, Calvin, and the Systematization of Grace

Sayles' strongest historical affinity is with the Reformers. He presents Martin Luther's *On the Bondage of the Will* (1525) as a thunderclap that shattered Erasmus' humanism. Luther's image of the will as a "beast of burden" — ridden either by God or by Satan — captures the essence of total depravity. Sayles situates his own insistence on man's utter inability firmly in this Lutheran frame.

John Calvin then emerges as the architect of coherence. In the *Institutes*, Calvin presented predestination not as speculation but as pastoral comfort: "Outside of Christ, election is a frightful abyss; but in Christ, it is sweet solace" (III.24.5). Sayles echoes this by declaring Christ to be "the mirror of election" and the Logos as the foundation of salvation. The Reformed system, for Calvin and for Sayles alike, is not a collection of doctrines but an integrated whole in which each point presupposes the others.

Ulrich Zwingli and Theodore Beza also appear in Sayles' narrative, but as supporting figures. Zwingli's emphasis on divine sovereignty laid groundwork for Calvin, while Beza's supralapsarian formulations demonstrated the precision of the next generation. Sayles respects Beza but prefers to keep his focus on the Logos as the integrating principle, rather than on speculative orderings of decrees.

Dort and the Confessional Consolidation

Sayles devotes considerable space to the Synod of Dort (1618–19), where the five points of Calvinism were formally articulated in response to the Remonstrants. For him, Dort exemplifies the **confessional proof** of his Eight-Fold Structure: Scripture's witness crystallized into ecclesial declaration.

Here Sayles' narrative is careful to emphasize that Dort was not an innovation but a reaffirmation. The Canons of Dort simply drew together what Augustine had defended, what Aquinas had implied, what Luther thundered, and what Calvin systematized. By aligning his own work with Dort, Sayles shows his commitment to confessional continuity rather than theological novelty.

Edwards, Whitefield, and the Evangelical Stream

Crossing into the eighteenth century, Sayles highlights Jonathan Edwards and George Whitefield as key figures who demonstrated that the doctrines of grace were not inimical to evangelism but were in fact their driving force.

- **Edwards**: In *Freedom of the Will* (1754), Edwards argued that true freedom is not the liberty of indifference but the liberty to choose rightly — a liberty given only by grace. Sayles finds in Edwards a philosophical ally, using his logic to support the doctrine of irresistible grace.
- **Whitefield**: Known for preaching to thousands in open fields, Whitefield embraced Calvinism unapologetically. Sayles underscores Whitefield's famous declaration: "I embrace the Calvinistic scheme, not because Calvin, but because Jesus Christ has taught it to me." This epitomizes the doxological orientation that Sayles seeks to recover.

Spurgeon and the Nineteenth-Century Legacy

Sayles closes his historical survey with Charles Haddon Spurgeon, the "Prince of Preachers," whose insistence that "Calvinism is the gospel, and nothing else" encapsulated the Reformed conviction that the doctrines of grace are not optional extras but the very marrow of Christianity. Sayles appreciates Spurgeon's combination of warmth, evangelistic urgency, and doctrinal clarity, presenting him as a model for integrating propositional truth with pastoral application.

Continuity and Retrieval

The thread running through Sayles' historical account is continuity. From Israel's election to Augustine's Confessions, from Anselm's satisfaction theory to Calvin's Institutes, from Dort's Canons to Edwards' revivals, the doctrines of grace emerge not as novelties but as the consistent witness of Scripture and the church. Sayles' retrieval of these figures is not antiquarian but constructive: he positions his own *Propositional Soteriology* as the latest articulation of an unbroken tradition.

The Propositions of Soteriology

Sayles' *Propositional Soteriology* devotes its central chapters to the doctrines of grace, each presented in propositional form and supported by the Eight-Fold Proof Structure. Here the logical skeleton is filled with theological substance. What emerges is both a retrieval of classical Reformed soteriology and a distinctive reformulation that places the Logos at the foundation of salvation.

Total Depravity: Man's Utter Inability

Sayles' second proposition affirms that "humanity, in Adam, is wholly corrupted by sin" (2.1). He insists, with Augustine, that sin extends to every faculty — mind, will, affections, and body. This is not mere weakness but spiritual death (Eph. 2:1). In this framework, man's will is bound and cannot turn to God unaided.

Comparisons:

- **Augustine** argued in *Confessions* and *On Nature and Grace* that man cannot even begin to seek God without divine initiative. His prayer, "Give what Thou commandest, and command what Thou wilt," is echoed directly in Sayles' claim that regeneration precedes faith.
- **Luther**'s *On the Bondage of the Will* sharpened this point against Erasmus: man's will is like a beast, ridden either by God or by Satan. Sayles' proposition that "man always chooses according to his sinful nature unless liberated by grace" (2.13) is effectively a restatement of Luther's imagery in logical form.
- **Edwards**, in *Freedom of the Will*, reinforced this anthropology by showing that the will always follows the strongest inclination, which apart from grace is invariably toward sin. Sayles' logical proof of depravity resonates closely with Edwards' philosophical rigor.

Evaluation:
Sayles' propositional clarity eliminates sentimental views of human capacity. His insistence that "sin does not destroy man's faculties but corrupts and enslaves them" (2.14) captures the nuance of Reformed anthropology: man remains rational, yet his rationality is bent away from God. This ensures that salvation cannot begin in human initiative but must begin in divine grace.

Unconditional Election: God's Sovereign Choice

Proposition three declares: "God's choice of the elect is rooted in His eternal purpose, not in foreseen faith or works" (3.1). Sayles emphasizes the eternal decree: before the foundation of the world (Eph. 1:4), God chose His people in Christ.

Comparisons:

- **Augustine**'s confrontation with Pelagius turned on this point: election is not conditioned by human will but arises from God's mercy alone. Augustine's insistence that even faith is a gift resonates in Sayles' proposition that election is "unto holiness and adoption, not because of them" (3.13).
- **Calvin** systematized this in the *Institutes*, calling Christ "the mirror of election" (III.24.5). Sayles follows Calvin here, anchoring election not in abstraction but in the Logos.
- **Van Til** strengthens this frame with his presuppositional distinction between Creator and creature. Sayles appropriates this line: to begin with man's choice is to presuppose autonomy; to begin with God's decree is to presuppose revelation.

Evaluation:
Sayles' logical and presuppositional proofs are particularly forceful here. He argues that conditional election collapses into incoherence: if God's choice is dependent on foreseen faith, then grace is no longer grace (Rom. 11:6). This line mirrors Calvin's polemics against synergism and demonstrates Sayles' commitment to election as both biblically mandated and logically necessary.

Definite Atonement: Particular Redemption

Proposition four asserts: "Christ's death was effectual for the elect, securing salvation infallibly" (4.1). Sayles stresses that atonement is not hypothetical but actual: Christ died not to make salvation possible but to accomplish it.

Comparisons:

- **Anselm** in *Cur Deus Homo* argued that only the God-man could make satisfaction for sin. Sayles builds on this by insisting that such satisfaction must be definite and not general.
- **John Owen**, in *The Death of Death in the Death of Christ*, famously declared that Christ's atonement "saves to the uttermost" those for whom it was intended. Sayles' proposition "Universal atonement without universal salvation is incoherent" (4.14) is a direct echo of Owen's logic.
- **Spurgeon** declared from the pulpit: "Calvinism is the gospel, and nothing else." For Spurgeon, Christ's atonement was definite and effectual. Sayles' work positions itself in this same stream, but clothed in a propositional skeleton.

Evaluation:
This is perhaps Sayles' most polemical proposition. By insisting that "the cross accomplishes salvation, not merely makes it possible" (4.13), he confronts Arminian and universalist positions head-on. His logical proofs show that an atonement equally for all but effective for none empties the cross of its power. In contrast, definite atonement magnifies Christ's love by making it actual and effectual.

Irresistible Grace: Effectual Calling

Proposition five states: "The Spirit effectually calls the elect, bringing them from death to life" (5.1). Sayles underscores that grace is not coercive but liberating: "Grace is irresistible in efficacy, though never violent in operation" (5.15).

Comparisons:

- **Ezekiel 37**'s valley of dry bones illustrates this point: dead bones do not resist resurrection. Sayles deploys this image as scriptural proof.
- **Augustine** again provides the theological root: "My weight is my love; by it I am carried wherever I am carried" (*Confessions* XIII.9). God's grace reorders love, not by coercion but by renewal.
- **Edwards** echoes this in his revival preaching: sinners come not because they choose independently but because God awakens their affections.
- **Van Til** frames it presuppositionally: man is dead and cannot rise autonomously; only God's initiative restores intelligibility and life.

Evaluation:
Sayles' pastoral sensitivity surfaces strongly here. His emphasis that grace liberates rather than coerces guards against caricatures of Calvinism as fatalism. Grace does not drag unwilling sinners but makes them willing in the day of God's power (Ps. 110:3).

Perseverance of the Saints: Kept by God

Proposition six concludes: “All whom God has elected, redeemed, and regenerated will persevere to the end” (6.1). Sayles ties perseverance directly to God’s preserving power, not human willpower.

Comparisons:

- **Calvin** emphasized that assurance rests not on the believer’s strength but on Christ’s grip: “The Lord sustains the perseverance of the elect to the end.”
- **The Puritans** (Owen, Goodwin, Bunyan) developed this as a pastoral doctrine: the Christian’s journey is hard, but God ensures safe arrival at the Celestial City. Sayles’ proposition that “Saints may stumble, but they will never finally fall away” (6.11) directly echoes Puritan pastoral theology.
- **Jonathan Edwards** preached the same during the Great Awakening: true believers persevere not because of human resolve but because God sustains their faith to the end.

Evaluation:
Sayles’ Eight-Fold Proof is effective here in countering objections. Critics charge perseverance with producing complacency. Sayles replies that perseverance does not nullify responsibility but secures it: God ordains both the end and the means, including faith, repentance, and holiness. Thus perseverance fuels diligence rather than despair.

The Doxological End

Sayles concludes with Proposition seven: the doctrines of grace are not speculative but doxological. “The end of soteriology is the same as the end of all theology: soli Deo gloria” (8.15). Election magnifies mercy, atonement magnifies the cross, grace magnifies the Spirit, perseverance magnifies the Father’s faithfulness.

Here Sayles’ project converges with Edwards’ vision in *The End for Which God Created the World*: all of salvation is ordered toward the manifestation of divine glory. This ensures that his propositional system does not collapse into abstraction but culminates in worship.

The Logos-Centred Frame

The Logos as Foundation of Salvation

The distinctive contribution of S. C. Sayles’ *Propositional Soteriology* lies in its Logos-centred framework. While Augustine, Calvin, and Edwards each emphasized grace, Sayles makes explicit that the foundation of salvation

is not an abstract decree but the eternal Logos Himself. The propositions unfold with this conviction: “Salvation is not a human invention but the eternal purpose of the Logos” (1.1).

This Christocentric ontology places the doctrines of grace in continuity with the prologue of John’s Gospel:

- “In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God” (John 1:1).
- “The Word became flesh and dwelt among us” (John 1:14).

For Sayles, the Logos is not only Creator but also Redeemer. He is the ground of being (Col. 1:16–17), the agent of atonement, and the guarantor of perseverance. Thus, salvation is not an abstract system of decrees but the personal work of the eternal Son.

Patristic Logos-Theology and Sayles’ Retrieval

Sayles’ Logos-centred soteriology consciously echoes the patristic emphasis on the Logos as mediator of creation and redemption. Athanasius in *On the Incarnation* insisted that only the eternal Word, consubstantial with the Father, could repair the corruption of man: “He became what we are that He might make us what He is.”

Sayles retrieves this patristic emphasis, but translates it into propositional form. For Athanasius, the Logos was the principle of incarnation and salvation. For Sayles, the Logos is also the metaphysical ground of the doctrines of grace: election, atonement, calling, and perseverance are all operations of the Logos, not external principles. This Christological anchoring protects soteriology from the danger of abstraction, ensuring that grace is always grace-in-Christ.

Calvin’s “Mirror of Election” and Sayles’ Expansion

John Calvin famously declared Christ to be “the mirror of election” (*Institutes* III.24.5). This meant that election could not be understood apart from union with Christ: to seek assurance outside of Christ was to look into a dark abyss. Sayles expands Calvin’s metaphor into a comprehensive framework: the Logos is not merely the mirror of election but the foundation of the entire system of salvation.

- Election: decreed in Christ before the foundation of the world (Eph. 1:4).
- Atonement: accomplished by the incarnate Logos on the cross.
- Calling: effected by the Spirit of the Logos through the Word.

- Perseverance: secured by the continuing presence of the Logos with His people.

By unifying the system under Christ as Logos, Sayles secures coherence and ensures that every doctrine terminates in a Person rather than in abstraction.

Van Til and the Logos as Ultimate Presupposition

Sayles' Logos-centred frame also resonates with Cornelius Van Til's presuppositional apologetics. For Van Til, all intelligibility rests on the triune God, revealed supremely in Christ. Neutrality is impossible: either man assumes autonomy or he submits to divine revelation.

Sayles applies this to soteriology: either salvation is grounded in man (and collapses into incoherence), or it is grounded in the Logos (and remains eternal, immutable, and secure). Thus, the Logos becomes not only the theological foundation but also the presuppositional necessity. Without the Logos, salvation dissolves into shifting human autonomy; with the Logos, salvation is as stable as God Himself.

Logos-Centred Metaphysics

Sayles' inclusion of metaphysical proof is particularly significant here. He argues that because God is immutable, eternal, and simple, the decree of salvation must share these qualities. This line of reasoning is deeply Logos-centred: the eternal Word is the expression of God's being, and thus the decree of salvation is nothing other than the eternal purpose of the Logos.

This recalls Jonathan Edwards' insight in *The End for Which God Created the World* that creation and redemption exist ultimately for the display of God's glory in Christ. Sayles sharpens this: the Logos is not only the end but also the foundation. All being, all redemption, all perseverance flow from Him.

Doxological Orientation

By making the Logos the centre, Sayles avoids the danger of scholastic aridity. The final proposition declares: "The end of soteriology is the same as the end of all theology: soli Deo gloria" (8.15). Here Sayles converges with Edwards' insistence that salvation terminates in worship and with Schaeffer's reminder that Christian truth must lead to lived devotion.

For Sayles, every doctrine magnifies the Logos:

- Election magnifies the Father's mercy in the Son.

- Atonement magnifies the Son’s cross.
- Grace magnifies the Spirit’s application of the Word.
- Perseverance magnifies the Father’s faithfulness in Christ.

Thus the Logos is not only the ground of coherence but the focus of praise.

The Strengths of Sayles’ Approach

Propositional Clarity

Perhaps the most notable strength of Sayles’ *Propositional Soteriology* is its commitment to propositional clarity. In a theological climate that often prioritizes narrative or experiential modes, Sayles insists that doctrinal truth must be confessed in sentences, logically structured, and internally coherent. This conviction aligns with Schaeffer’s call for “true truth” and with Van Til’s rejection of neutrality in theology. Sayles’ work serves as a corrective to theological ambiguity, offering a system that is transparent, testable, and precise.

Integration of Historical Witness

Sayles’ method is not an exercise in innovation but in continuity. By rooting his system in the Old Testament, Augustine, the medievals, the Reformers, and the confessions, he demonstrates that the doctrines of grace are not novelties but the persistent witness of the church. This historical retrieval gives the work a weight and stability that distinguishes it from many modern systematic theologies. In this, Sayles follows the pattern of Calvin’s *Institutes* and Edwards’ *Freedom of the Will*, situating himself consciously within the great tradition.

Comprehensive Proof Structure

The Eight-Fold Proof Structure is itself a remarkable strength. By appealing simultaneously to Scripture, confession, theology, logic, metaphysics, presuppositions, polemics, and refutation, Sayles ensures that no doctrine rests on a single strand of reasoning. This multidimensional defense creates a theological architecture that is resilient against reductionist critique. In particular, his inclusion of presuppositional proof demonstrates a contemporary awareness that theological debate is always a battle of worldviews.

Pastoral Orientation and Doxology

Despite its density, Sayles’ system consistently points beyond intellectual formulation to doxological ends. Election, atonement, grace, and

perseverance are presented not merely as logical necessities but as reasons for worship. His conclusion — that the end of soteriology is *soli Deo gloria* — ensures that theology culminates not in speculation but in praise. This echoes Edwards' conviction in *The End for Which God Created the World* and Calvin's pastoral insistence that doctrine must edify the church.

Neutral but Affirming Assessment

When weighed together, the strengths of Sayles' project far outweigh its limitations. His insistence on propositional clarity provides a counterbalance to the subjectivism of much contemporary theology. His retrieval of historical witnesses anchors his system in continuity rather than novelty. His Eight-Fold Proof Structure gives resilience and comprehensiveness. And his doxological orientation ensures that his theology remains pastoral at its core.

The weaknesses — density, risk of over-systematization, limited contemporary engagement — are significant but not insurmountable. Indeed, they may be less flaws than deliberate trade-offs. Sayles has chosen clarity over accessibility, continuity over eclecticism, and doxology over speculation. In this, his project serves as a timely reminder that theology is not merely about relevance but about truth — and truth, for Sayles, is revealed in the Logos.

Conclusion

Sayles' Contribution in Context

S. C. Sayles' *Propositional Soteriology: The Logos-Centred Salvation* stands as both a retrieval of Reformed orthodoxy and a constructive theological proposal. By adopting a propositional framework modeled after Wittgenstein's *Tractatus*, Sayles presses every doctrine of salvation into clarity, coherence, and logical interconnection. This is not simply stylistic: it reflects his conviction that God has spoken in sentences, and therefore theology must confess in propositions.

In an era where theology often gravitates toward story, image, and subjective experience, Sayles' project represents a deliberate counter-movement. His book insists that the doctrines of grace are not merely narratives of divine kindness but eternal truths grounded in the Logos, revealed in Scripture, confessed in history, and logically coherent in themselves. The Logos is not only the foundation of salvation but its substance, coherence, and doxological end.

Continuity Across the Ages

Sayles situates himself firmly within the long stream of Christian tradition. His propositions resonate with Augustine's battle against Pelagius, Anselm's satisfaction theory, Aquinas' doctrine of providence, Luther's *Bondage of the Will*, Calvin's systematic clarity, the Canons of Dort, and the Westminster Confession. He retrieves Edwards' philosophical rigor, Whitefield's evangelistic confidence, and Spurgeon's pastoral boldness. In doing so, Sayles demonstrates that the doctrines of grace are not sixteenth-century novelties but the unbroken melody of the church's confession: *salvation is of the Lord* (Jonah 2:9).

This historical rooting strengthens his case. In contrast to systems that risk novelty or fragmentation, Sayles offers continuity. His theology is not an invention but a re-articulation — the same doctrines, reframed in propositional precision for a new era.

A Logos-Centred Soteriology for Today

What distinguishes Sayles most of all is his Logos-centred framing. Election, atonement, calling, and perseverance are not merely doctrines but operations of the eternal Word. This ensures that the doctrines of grace are not abstractions but Christological realities. In this, Sayles echoes Athanasius' insistence that "He became what we are that He might make us what He is," and Calvin's declaration that Christ is the "mirror of election." By grounding every doctrine in the Logos, Sayles integrates ontology, soteriology, and doxology into a unified vision.

For contemporary theology, this emphasis is striking. In a fragmented intellectual landscape, Sayles presents a unifying centre: the Logos as the ground of being, the Author of salvation, and the object of worship. His system reminds modern readers that the coherence of theology is not in human construction but in divine revelation, not in ecclesial innovation but in eternal decree.

Strengths and Enduring Significance

The strengths of Sayles' work lie in its clarity, comprehensiveness, and continuity. The Eight-Fold Proof Structure ensures that doctrines are

resilient, tested against multiple lines of reasoning. The historical retrieval shows that grace has been confessed across centuries. The doxological orientation reminds us that theology must end in worship.

Even where limitations appear — density, abstraction, limited accessibility — these are deliberate choices rather than oversights. Sayles has chosen precision over popularity, continuity over eclecticism, Logos-centred coherence over anthropocentric fluidity. Whether or not his work finds wide readership, its contribution is significant: it sets a standard for clarity in an age often tempted toward vagueness.

Soli Deo Gloria

In the end, Sayles' *Propositional Soteriology* is not a speculative system but a confession. Its final proposition captures the whole: "The end of soteriology is the same as the end of all theology: soli Deo gloria" (8.15). Like Edwards, who declared that all things exist for the manifestation of God's glory, Sayles directs the doctrines of grace to their ultimate horizon: the worship of the Logos.

Thus the book is best read not only as a systematic presentation but as a doxological summons. It calls the church to confess again that salvation is of the Lord, grounded in Christ the Logos, accomplished by His cross, applied by His Spirit, and secured for eternity by His faithfulness.

In an era marked by theological uncertainty, Sayles offers an architecture of clarity. In a culture of fragmentation, he offers coherence in the Logos. And in a world where man is tempted to glory in himself, he redirects all glory to God alone.

Soli Deo Gloria.

Bibliography

Primary Sources

- Anselm of Canterbury. *Cur Deus Homo*. In *Anselm of Canterbury: The Major Works*. Edited by Brian Davies and G. R. Evans. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- Athanasius. *On the Incarnation*. Translated and edited by John Behr. Yonkers, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 2011.
- Augustine of Hippo. *Confessions*. Translated by Henry Chadwick. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- Augustine of Hippo. *On Nature and Grace*. In *Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers*, Series I, Vol. 5. Edited by Philip Schaff. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1994.

- Augustine of Hippo. *Enchiridion on Faith, Hope, and Love*. Translated by Albert C. Outler. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox, 1955.
- The Canons of Dort. In *Reformed Confessions of the 16th and 17th Centuries in English Translation*, Vol. 4. Edited by James T. Dennison Jr. Grand Rapids: Reformation Heritage Books, 2014.
- Calvin, John. *Institutes of the Christian Religion*. 2 vols. Edited by John T. McNeill. Translated by Ford Lewis Battles. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox, 1960.
- Edwards, Jonathan. *Freedom of the Will*. Edited by Paul Ramsey. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1957.
- Edwards, Jonathan. *The End for Which God Created the World*. Edited by John Piper. Wheaton: Crossway, 1998.
- Luther, Martin. *The Bondage of the Will*. Translated by J. I. Packer and O. R. Johnston. Grand Rapids: Revell, 1957.
- Owen, John. *The Death of Death in the Death of Christ*. Edited by J. I. Packer. Carlisle, PA: Banner of Truth, 1959.
- Spurgeon, Charles Haddon. *The New Park Street Pulpit Sermons*. Vols. 1–6. London: Passmore and Alabaster, 1855–1860.
- The Westminster Confession of Faith. Glasgow: Free Presbyterian Publications, 1994.

Secondary Sources

- Henry, Carl F. H. *God, Revelation and Authority*. 6 vols. Waco: Word Books, 1976–1983.
- Pelikan, Jaroslav. *The Vindication of Tradition*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1984.
- Schaeffer, Francis A. *The God Who Is There*. Downers Grove: InterVarsity Press, 1968.
- Van Til, Cornelius. *The Defense of the Faith*. 4th ed. Edited by K. Scott Oliphint. Phillipsburg, NJ: Presbyterian & Reformed, 2008.

Sayles' Works

- Sayles, S. C. *Propositional Soteriology: The Logos-Centred Salvation*. Lancaster: Evolsiay Tulip, 2025.